

[\[2003 index\]](#)

Royal Burgh of St Andrews Community Council

Agenda – January 2003

There will be a meeting of the community council at 7pm on Monday 6th January in the Burgh Chambers of the Town Hall, Queen's Gardens. There will be a short break at about 8pm during which the 200 Club draw will be made.

0. Group Photo

Please be at the Burgh Chambers by 6.45pm for the 25th Year photo.

1. Apologies

2. Minutes of December 2002

(Read for accuracy in matters of substance – harangue the secretary for minor errors (spelling etc) outwith the meeting).

3. Presentations

(For anyone wishing to address the meeting on a matter relevant to St Andrews. Please contact the Secretary or Chair before the meeting. Priority will be given to those who have been invited to speak or have given advance notice).

4. Fife Councillors

4.1. Frances Melville (West)

4.2. Sheila Hill (South)

4.2.1. Craigtoun development gateway [December 4.2.2.]

4.3. Bill Brooks (Central)

4.3.1. Centre Waste Collection [December 7.2.1.]

4.4. Jane Ann Liston (South East)

5. Planning Committee Report

6. Matters Arising from Previous Meetings

6.1. Street names, John Knox Road

[December 7.7] Not only did it turn out that we'd already used Chambers Place (well spotted Gordon Pay and others at the transport seminar) but it turns out there are three roads to be

named. Various proposals in Appendix A.

6.2. Best Kept Town

[December 6.1.] Ken Crichton to report

6.3. Amended Scheme for Community Councils

[December 7.1.] Appendix C: Letter on timescale

Appendix Z: (Separate) consultation document (again) with draft comments. There are items that need specific community council opinion as well as any general comment on the comments. [comments in blue PL].

6.4. Green Belt

[December 6.3.] Appendix D: Reply from David Rae, Head of Planning and Building Control. Thanks to Cllr Frances Melville for chasing this.

6.5. Bulletin Responses

Appendix E: (non-Loches)

6.x. Other matters arising...

7. New Business

7.1. Police attendance at Community Council

Appendix B.

7.2. Parliament & Council Elections

Scottish Civic Forum asks: "Are you, your organisation, or anyone you know, planning to run things like: voter education programmes; Meet-your-MSP sessions, on issues of democracy, or specific policy areas; hustings; courses on the Scottish Parliament; conferences on power sharing, participation, democratic values, etc; sessions on how to influence the Parliament; or anything related to these??"

Are we?

7.3. Fife CCTV Advisory Group

Chris Lesurf was to represent us on this body, but in case she is still ill can anyone attend the meeting 2pm, Thu 30/1/03, Police HQ, Glenrothes?

7.4. Better Health Services

Fife Health Council are holding a seminar on Fife's Local Health Plan 2003. 10am Tue 14/1/03 Dunfermline Football Club Conference Centre, Halbeth Road, Dunfermline. Places limited.

7.5. Contaminated Land Survey

EnviroCentre Ltd, write: As part of the Councils Contaminated Land Strategy, we have been asked by Fife Council to carry out desk studies on certain sites with potential contaminated land issues. We would therefore be grateful if you could send us any historical or anecdotal information you may have for the following site/sites: West Sands, St Andrews Tip

7.6. Review of Common Good Funds Grants Procedures

From Mike Melville, Law & Administration asks for our response to the proposed procedures in Appendix F, by no later than Monday 17th February 2003.

7.7. Planning Aid Workshop

Planning Aid for Scotland (PAS) is running a one-day workshop in Cupar on Sat 1 Feb, working with Fife Council Planning & Building Control Services to help community groups or interested individuals learn more about the East Fife Local Plan and how they can have a say in the future of the area. The training is delivered by PAS volunteers who offer independent and impartial planning advice.

Date: 1st February 2003 Venue: VONEF, 69-73 Crossgate, Cupar Time: 9:30-4pm. Cost: free (but places must be booked in advance) Lunch provided. Training materials are available in large print or on audio tape.

Responses by 24 January.

Any takers?

7.8. Hospital Update

Appendix G

7.9. Tentsmuir Eden Liaison Group

Next meets 2pm Fri 24/1/03, Scottish Natural Heritage offices, Cupar.

7.10. Environmental Services Newsletter

Winter 2002: Xmas tree recycling; Food poisoning outbreak exercise; newsletter going online; ; Food safety tips; Rubbish Free Zone; Temperature in indoor workplaces; Food complaints; Working Time; Buying a dog?; Condensation in Housing.

7.11. Trading Standards Newsletter

December 2002: Review of the year; Holidays; Learning events; Investors in people; Christmas spending takes its toll; Junk mail & phone; Changes to petrol storage; Know your rights at Xmas; Electronic commerce regulations 2002; Keeping

in good spirits; Fireworks and safer Scotland; Ban on tobacco adverts; Approved garage scheme.

7.12. Fife Environmental Trust Newsletter

2002: Landfill tax credit scheme update; Youth group give bins new life; In-vessel composting at Lochhead; Forth Estuary forum; Waste wood in Fife; Castle furniture project.

7.13. Funding Request

From a student at Madras College for voluntary work in Sri Lanka. Appendix H.

8. Reports from Officers

8.1. Chair

8.2. Treasurer

8.3. Secretary

8.3.1. Fife Structure Plan Thanks to the intervention of Cllr Frances Melville the Plan will soon be available electronically (for reference or printing at need) rather than at a cost of £10 per extra (glossy print) copy.

8.3.2. Outstanding items [December 7.5.] meeting with Rights of Way Society, [November x.x.] Tayside rail network.

8.3.3. Loches responses to the Bulletin article tricked to a stop by mid December. A final appeal for opinions will go to the press this week and a report made in February.

8.3.4. 2003 meetings Booking made for the rest of the year: 3 Feb, 3 Mar, 7 Apr, 5 May, 2 Jun, 7 Jul, 4 Aug, 1 Sep, 6 Oct, 3 Nov, 1 Dec.

9. Reports

9.1. from Committees

Written reports of meetings are required by terms of the Scheme for Community Councils.

9.2. from Representatives

For any verbal reports of meetings attended etc. Issues requiring a decision by community council should be raised under items 6 Matters Arising or 7 New Business, as appropriate.

10. Any Other Competent Business

Please notify Chair of AOCB items before the start of the meeting or at the break. Hint: Given that the end of the meeting is often taken in something of a rush, unless items are

urgent it might be better to submit them for next meeting's New Business.

Appendix A – Street Names

From Ken Fraser

It has turned out that I already suggested the name of "Chambers Place" for an earlier street name this year, and it was accepted. My apologies for this. At the same time we were told that in fact the development off John Knox Rd. consists of three streets (all dead ends, one main one and two more leading off it).

In view of the welcome given to Dennis Macdonald's suggestion to name a new street after Bobby Jones, I think it would be only right to name the principal street after him. If that is so, it seems appropriate to name the other two after golfers also. I consulted Keith McCartney (a former Chairman of the Community Council and an expert on St. Andrews golfers). The two he suggested were:

Finlay Douglas. Born in St. Andrews 1874, died in New York 1959 but his ashes were returned to be buried with his family in the Eastern Cemetery. He was U.S. Amateur Champion in 1898 and President of the U.S. Golfing Association in 1929-30, as such he presented the trophies to Bobby Jones in 1930 when Keith says he won the "Impregnable quadrilateral" (golfing enthusiasts will tell me what these were).

James Foulis. Born in St. Andrews 1871, died in Chicago 1928. Came third in the first U.S. Open Championship in 1895, and won the second in 1896. He was thus the first Scottish golfer to win the U.S. Open.

As to the second part of the names, I think "Place" would do for the main one, and possibly the other two as well. "Gardens" would not do, as they have car parking at the front and the gardens at the back. If variety was wanted we could suggest "Court" for the two lesser ones, though the plan suggests that is stretching "Court" a bit far.

As there was no consensus on whether or not to include the Christian names of people or not, I shall leave that to the Council. I suppose we really would have to make the main name "Bobby Jones" rather than just "Jones". For the other two, including the Christian name would make it clearer who was being honoured, but also make the name more cumbersome for those living there.

It may be helpful to point out that the old people's home is not in the "main" road but in one of the side ones. That might influence which one was named after Bobby Jones.

So we would have;

Bobby Jones Place (?)
(Findlay?) Douglas Place/Court
(James?) Foulis Place/Court

From Jane Ann Liston:

If you have two adjoining streets, could I suggest 'James V' and 'Guise-Lorraine' as names? James and Marie were married in St Andrews in 1538, with lavish celebrations, but this, perhaps the biggest state occasion our town ever saw, does not seem to be widely known.

From Richard Bachelor:

Dr George Martine (1700-1741), a local physician and surgeon, studied temperature variations in the human body and in the earth. He surmised that since temperature increased with depth, the earth must have an internal heat source.

Matthew Forster Heddle (1828-1897), Professor of Chemistry at St Andrews University 1862 to 1883, devoted much of his time to mineralogy and built up an impressive collection of Scottish minerals. He is buried in the Cathedral graveyard.

Charles Lapworth (1842-1920) was a school teacher of English at Madras College from 1875 to 1881. In his spare time he studied the rock formations of Southern Scotland using fossil graptolites to assist in his correlation work. He became one of the most influential geologist of his time and went on to become Professor of Geology at Birmingham University.

Appendix B – Police attendance at Community Council Meetings.

Letter from Alan G Maich, Superintendent, Eastern Division, Fife Police

I have taken the decision to review the routine Police attendance at Community Council meetings as a pilot for the next six months.

As you will be aware we are trying to provide a more proactive style of Community Policing in Eastern Division and as such I am encouraging the Community Team officers to carry out directed patrols in areas that are identified as causing the Community a problem. This can only be achieved by having the staff available to carry out patrols and reduce abstractions.

Within Eastern Division we have 36 Community Council meetings to attend which with monthly meetings has a potential of 432 meetings for each year. At present an attendance level of 80% per month is regularly achieved for the Community Council meetings but this has only been achieved by Community Team Officers changing shifts or working split shifts or often attending meetings on what should be their rest

day. However, at many of the meetings attended there is not a specific reason for the officer attending other than giving feedback to the meeting on crime issues or statistics. On many occasions our requests for the Police issues to be taken early on agendas are not met by the chair and this results in officers having to sit through a whole meeting for one and a half to two hours. This is not efficient use of staff and abstracts officers from proactive duties and patrol.

To improve this situation my intentions are as follows:

1. If the Community Council has an issue that requires Police attention then an invitation for the Police to attend the meeting is intimated outlining what the issue is.
2. When we receive the invitation to attend then the Community Council will be informed of the Police attendance or otherwise. If for operational reasons an officer cannot attend then a written report will be submitted for the information of the meeting covering the issue raised.
3. When a Police Officer attends a Community Council meeting Police business is taken first on the agenda to allow the officer to thereafter leave the meeting and resume patrol/duties.
4. The invitation to attend is received 7 days prior to the meeting either by mail, e-mail or by telephone to the contact numbers listed. This will also allow meaningful research to be done and the correct information brought to the meeting by the attending officer.
5. Issues raised or identified which require a more urgent response are to be conveyed to PS Latto or the Community Team on the telephone number or addresses listed.
6. A regular Community newsletter to be compiled by the Community Team and distributed to all Community Councils and local councillors which will highlight on-going or scheduled initiatives, community events, crime statistics and other information for each area.
7. Sergeant David Latto, Community Team Sergeant to be the point of contact as intimated above. The contact details are: E-mail – David.Latto@fife.pnn.police.uk. Telephone – 01334 418775 (Community Team). Post – Sgt Latto, Eastern Division Community Team, Fife Constabulary Station, Carslogie Road, Cupar, Fife KY15 4YH.

My aim is to continue with the close relationship between the Community Councils and the Community Team Officers but making the attendance at the meetings more meaningful and in some cases less of an abstraction.

This pilot scheme will run for six months and be constantly monitored by PS Latto and the Divisional management team. Any concerns that Community Councils have will be noted and

addressed if required. The new Community Newsletter will also assist in furnishing information to the meetings.

I am sure that by working in partnership our officers will be able to become more proactive in the areas required which I am sure you will agree is a more effective way of Policing the local community.

My intentions are to start this pilot scheme from January 2003. If you have any urgent concerns please do not hesitate to contact PS Latto or myself on the above telephone numbers or addresses.

Appendix C – Amended Scheme for Community Councils

Email to Iain Grant, Law & Admin on consultation timescales

St Andrews Community Council welcomes the consultation on revision of the Scheme for community councils, but is concerned at the practicalities of the time scale for the consultation.

The three months (date of letter Nov 22 to 24 Feb deadline) look good on paper, but the documents only arrived in time for our December meeting, which is the first most community councillors heard of it; it is unlikely that many people will attend to detailed analysis of the pros and cons of the proposed changes over the run up to Christmas and over the holiday season – so there goes our January 6th meeting too. As a result February becomes the first time for effective discussion and agreement of a response. We suspect other community councils will have similar problems.

To have “a final opportunity to discuss the draft Scheme” at the CC Seminar on 22 Feb is welcome, but the deadline is 24 Feb! This will be the only formal opportunity to discuss the proposals with our peers on other community councils. The deadline doesn't give sufficient time for those attending to feed back anything learned to their Community Council should it be important enough to require a change in response.

We suggest that:

Firstly the deadline for responses be put back a month to allow reasonable consideration of any discussions at the seminar before a final response, and

Secondly current contact details of all community councils in Fife be circulated as soon as possible to facilitate informal discussions community councils may wish to undertake – in particular I am happy for my email address to be circulated immediately to any other emailable community councils.

Appendix D – Green Belt

Response from David Rae, Head of Planning & Building Control Service

I refer to your email message in connection with the above in which you advised that the Community Council had resolved to call upon the Council to implement proposals for an immediate alteration to the St Andrews Local Plan which would in turn specify the precise boundaries of the proposed green belt as required by the recently approved Structure Plan.

I have also had further correspondence from Menzies Campbell, MP and Councillor Melville on the same subject. It is my understanding that you are familiar with the terms of my earlier correspondence with Councillor Melville in which I sought to explain why the preparation of a new local plan was a better alternative than an alteration to the existing plan.

I have taken some time to respond to you because I have reviewed and reconsidered the issues and the arguments for and against an alteration as opposed to a new local plan. I fully appreciate the concerns of the members of the Community Council about the need to ensure that any significant development proposals for St Andrews should be considered in the context of a coherent, robust and up to date planning framework. There can be no doubt that the delineation of a green belt boundary around the town requires to be part of that process.

I detect that there may be a fear shared by some of your members that the planning authority or for that matter the Head of Planning, does not support the green belt requirement. Let me make it quite clear that although it was the position of the planning authority some time ago that the character, heritage and landscape setting of St Andrews could be protected by other planning policies, this position changed in response to public consultation and in any event the Council is committed to implementing the terms of the Fife Structure Plan as modified and approved by Scottish Ministers. Let there be no doubt therefore, that this matter will be taken forward. Inaction is not an option.

You have drawn my attention to specific passages of Planning Advice Note No. 49. Planning Advice Note No. 49 was published in May 1996 and was primarily intended as guidance to new planning authorities following the reorganisation of local government. It is of course guidance and does not constitute government policy or have the full effect of planning law. However, it does contain sound advice which I am aware of and enables me to conclude that our current proposals in relation to development planning across Fife are in accordance with the advice contained in PAN 49.

In conclusion, I could not recommend to the Council that we seek an alteration to the existing local plan which deals only with the green belt issue. I wonder if the members of the Community Council realise that an alteration to the local plan would in itself be a time consuming exercise which would be liable to run for at least two years before any final position was reached. I believe this timescale is close to the timescale required to produce a new local plan which would put the planning authority in a stronger position to achieve an up to date planning framework which I hope will ultimately be shared, supported and understood by those who live in and have an interest in the town.

In conclusion, I hope we will have the support of the Community Council in taking forward the new local plan. With this in mind, I would be happy to meet with representatives of the Community Council early in the new year to discuss some of the matters outlined above and hopefully to agree a more positive relationship for the future. May I wish you and your colleagues the compliments of the season.

Appendix E – Bulletin responses

- Building a new school and ending 'bussing' will be better for pupils and the environment. Smaller schools get better results and give more pupils a sense of belonging. It is probably also the most cost-effective answer.
-

Appendix F – Common Good Funds

Covering letter from Mike Melville:

In recent months officers from the Law and Administration and Finance and Asset Management Services have been examining current practices throughout Fife in relation to Common Good applications. A report detailing the officers' proposals has been prepared for submission to the three Area Common Good Sub-Committees in December/January. Following consideration by the Area Common Good Sub-Committees, the report will be submitted to the three Area Services Committees in March 2003.

The views of Community Councils with an interest in Common Good Funds are being sought on the proposals. The intention is for the reports to the Area Services Committees to include the views of the local Community Councils.

To that end, I would be grateful if you could submit your Community Council's views on the enclosed report to:

Mike Melville, Team Leader (Area Administration), Law & Administration Service, Fife Council, County Buildings, St.

Catherine Street, Cupar, Fife, KY15 4TA

no later than Monday 17th February 2003.

This will enable your views to be considered by the Area Services Committees in March.

The report:

Review Of Common Good Fund Grant Procedures

1.0 Background

1.1 Officers from the Law and Administration and Finance and Asset Management Services have recently been reviewing the procedures for Common Good Fund grants in the three Areas with a view to agreeing a standard set of procedures for the whole of Fife.

1.2 The aims of the review are:

- to adopt best practice in all three Areas;
- to ensure that the Council's procedures provide best value, and
- to ensure that all grants comply with the advice contained in "Following the Public Pound", in terms of accountability.

2.0 Current Position

2.1 The review has illustrated that the procedures followed in the three Areas differ significantly. Whilst all three Areas consider applications at the Area Common Good Sub-Committees, the following differences have been identified:

- an application form exists for one Area only
- consultation arrangements differ between the three Areas
- the Council handles the administration of grants differently in the three Areas
- application of conditions to grants varies.

2.2 The report identifies the best practice in each of the above areas and recommends that these be adopted Fife-wide.

3.0 Application Form

3.1 At present only the East Area uses an application form for Common Good Fund grants. A standard application form is necessary to ensure that the Sub-Committees receive all the information necessary for them to make a decision. The proposed application form will also assist applicants for grant by detailing the information that the Sub-Committees require and giving applicants general information on Common Good Funds.

3.2 The proposed application form including standard grant conditions, a guidance note on completing the application form and a general note on Common Good Funds are attached as Appendix 1 to this report.

4.0 Processing of Forms

4.1 At present, grant applications are dealt with by the Law and Administration Service in two Areas and the Finance and Asset Management Service in the third.

4.2 It is proposed that in future, the Law and Administration Service deal with the processing of grant forms and the consultation arrangements and that the Finance and Asset Management Service provide the necessary financial information and advice.

5.0 Consultation Arrangements

5.1 At present, consultation arrangements vary from limited consultation with Local Members to full consultation with Local Members and Community Councils.

5.2 It is proposed that:

(a) the appropriate Local Member(s) should be consulted on all applications for assistance from Common Good Funds in which they have an interest;

(b) the appropriate Community Council(s) should be consulted on applications on the following basis unless they are the applicant:

(i) Community Councils in the Central and East Areas should be consulted on every application for assistance from Common Good Funds in which they have an interest, or

(ii) in relation to the West Area Consolidated Fund, consultation should be sent only to the Community Councils covering the former Burgh to which an application relates unless the application is deemed by the Heads of Law and Administration and Finance and Asset Management to have such significance for the fund as a whole in which case all Community Councils with an interest in the fund should be consulted.

6.0 Approval of Applications

6.1 The Council's Scheme of Administration provides that all applications for assistance from Common Good Funds should be determined by the appropriate Area Common Good Fund Sub-Committee.

6.2 It is proposed that no change be made to this arrangement other than in cases where a Common Good Fund Sub-Committee is minded to determine an application contrary to the general principles detailed in paragraph 7 below.

7.0 General Principles for Common Good Fund Applications

7.1 There is a statutory requirement that Common Good Funds should be administered having regard to the interests of the inhabitants of the area to which a Common Good formerly related. There is a section in the proposed application form

asking applicants to demonstrate that their application meets this test. However, given that the funds available are limited and in the interests of consistency, it is desirable to have general principles approved by the Council against which applications can be considered beyond the statutory requirement.

7.2 It is recommended therefore that the following principles be adopted:

1. the capital base of a Common Good Fund should be maintained in real terms.
2. no applications for recurring running costs should be approved.
3. no grants for individuals for personal benefit should be approved.
4. Common Good Fund grants should normally meet a maximum of 50% of the costs of any project

7.3 If the Area Sub-Committees were minded to approve applications which did not conform to the above principles, then the applications should be referred to the appropriate Area Services Committees for a decision.

8.0 Approval of New Arrangements

8.1 A copy of this report has been circulated to all Community Councils and Local Councillors with an interest in Common Good Funds. They have been asked to comment on the report in time for their views to be included in an updated version of this report which will be submitted to the three Area Services Committees in March 2003. That report will also include the comments of the three Common Good Sub-Committees.

8.2 Following consideration by the three Area Services Committees, the procedures will be submitted to the Policy and Resources Committee on 3rd April 2003, including all relevant comments, for a final decision.

9.0 Membership of Common Good Fund Sub-Committees

9.1 The West Area Services Committee of 14th November (Minute reference – para 158 of 02.WAS.65) asked the officers to consider the issue of membership of Common Good Sub-Committees.

9.2 It was agreed to recommend that..

(a) the size and composition of the Common Good Sub-Committees be decided by Fife Council;

(b) the Area Services Committees identify appropriate members to serve on the Common Good Sub-Committees to represent both the interests of Common Good communities and the interests of the wider electorate;

(c) the new consultation arrangements detailed above would ensure that there was local member input into every Common Good application, and

(d) to recognise that there are advantages in the Area Services Chairs being involved in Common Good Sub-Committees as full members to reflect their overall interests and responsibilities for the SubCommittees but it should be a matter for each Area Services Committee to decide if this was necessary.

10.0 Recommendations

10.1 The Sub-Committee is asked to comment on the proposals detailed in paragraphs 3-9 above.

Appendix G – Hospital Update

Dr John Bell writes:

I write to provide you with a further update on progress with the proposed development of a Community Hospital and Health Centre for the population of St Andrews and surrounding areas, including the East Neuk.

As reported previously, RPS Planning and Environment Consultants completed an appraisal of the five sites originally shortlisted by the Trust. From this early work focussing on physical and environmental issues, the Trust has identified St Leonard's Fields as its preferred site, whilst holding a further two of the shortlisted sites in reserve.

The Trust have now appointed Jones Lang Lasalle to develop this work further by carrying out a Cost Option Appraisal of the three sites. The Cost Option Appraisal will involve the development of preliminary layouts to establish that the development proposals can be appropriately accommodated on all three of the shortlisted sites, with initial focus on the preferred site at St Leonard's Fields. Indicative costings will then be applied to assess affordability of site development in each case. The principal purpose of the cost option appraisal is to identify the most cost-effective site that is considered to be deliverable in line with the Trust's programme and objectives. It is anticipated that the Cost Option Appraisal will be completed in the spring of 2003.

The Cost Option Appraisal will form the basis of the Outline Business Case addendum, which will require to be considered by the Capital Investment Group of the Scottish Executive, and if approved, will allow the Trust to proceed to development of its Full Business Case.

Appendix H – Funding appeal

From Elisabeth Brewster, 6 William St, Newport-on-Tay,

Fife,DD6 8AJ**Project Trust – Sri Lanka – 2003**

My name is Elisabeth Brewster and I am in sixth year at Madras College in St. Andrews. I am going to study Product Design Engineering at University after taking a year out to do voluntary work overseas. I have been selected by the charitable organisation, Project Trust, to work in Sri Lanka for 11 months from September 2003.

Project Trust send volunteers to over 24 different countries every year, to carry out charitable and voluntary work with children and adults in all areas of the world. I will be based rurally, teaching English, Arts and Crafts mainly to children. During my stay, there will also be opportunities for extra social projects within the community. I have already been involved in working for the local community through the Duke of Edinburgh's award scheme and I hope to gain even more experience and maturity through my Project Trust venture in Sri Lanka.

I have to raise the sum of £3,550 to cover the cost of the project and this target has to be met before September, when I am due to depart for Sri Lanka. Any help, no matter how small, that you can give towards this funding would be greatly appreciated. I am presently organising fundraising events such as dances, coffee mornings and selling homemade Christmas cards to raise money towards my target. Again, I stress, that any help you can give would be a great help. This could be financial, or a donation such as a raffle prize etc.

I enclose a leaflet that gives some more detail of the project. I also have a website that provides this information and will give details of my sponsors. This can be found at:

www.libby.makes.it

If you are interested in helping, please do not hesitate to contact me for more information. I look forward to hearing from you.

Correspondence

6/12/02	Enviro Centre	Survey Of Potentially Contaminated Land
7/12/02	Volunteer Development Scotland	Promotional Information
7/12/02	East Area Services Ctte	Agenda 11/12
7/12/02	Scottish Civic Forum	Summary Report Of Audit Of Democratic Participation

1/12/02	EBCO	Winter 02 Newslette
5/12/02	NHS Fife	Board Meeting Glenrothes 17/12
5/12/02	Planning & Building Control	Fife Structure Plan
6/12/02	Fife Health Council	"Better Health, Better Services" Conf 14/1 Dunfermline
12/12/02	Local Office	Xmas Card
12/12/02	Law & Admin	Common Good Fund Grants Procedure
13/12/02	Fife Health Council	Healthwatch Winter Newsletter
15/12/02	Planning Aid	Free Workshop – Cupar 1/2/03
15/12/02	Env Services	Xmas
18/12/02	Planning Aid	Newsletter Dec 02
18/12/02	VONEF	Rural Voice Action Competition
19/12/02	Douglas Keir	Fife Heritage Orchestra
19/12/02	Fife Procurement & Supplies	Price Changes
19/12/02	University	Xmas Card.
19/12/02	NHS Fife	St A Hospital
20/12/02	Fife Rural Partnership	Available Funding
20/12/02	Energy Efficiency Advice Centre	Community Renewables Initiative Launch
23/12/02	Tentsmuir Eden Liaison Group	Meeting 24/1/03
23/12/02	Police	Attendance At Ccs
24/12/02	Planning Service	Green Belt Reply
24/12/02	EBCO	Future Of LTCS
2/1/03	Scottish Civic Forum	Briefing Paper: Towards A Single Equality Body
2/1/03	Environmental Services	Environmental Services Newsletter
2/1/03	Trading Standards	December Newsletter
2/1/03	Fife Environmental Trust	Newsletter 2002
3/1/02	Elizabeth Brewster	Voluntary Work In Sri Lanka