

Royal Burgh of St Andrews Community Council

Draft Minutes – December 2006

For Approval

(Copies of Agendas and Minutes of the Community Council are held at Fife Council's Local Office, St Mary's Place and the Town Library, Church Square. Those from late 1997 on are on line at <http://www.standrewscc.net/>)

1. Attendance

Community Councillors

Ken Crichton, George Davidson, Richard Douglas, Ken Fraser, Ian Goudie, Stuart Holdsworth, Pete Lindsay, Ben McLeod, Dennis Macdonald, Donald Macgregor, Patrick Marks, Maggie Stracey, Carole Tricker, Penny Uprichard.

Students' Association Representatives

Matthew Guest, Laura Wilson

Nominated

Jude Innes

Fife Councillors

Jane Ann Liston, Bill Sangster, Sheila Black

Apologies

Tom D'Ardenne, Les Beech, Chris Lesurf, Joe Peterson, Bill Sangster

2. Minutes of November 2006 Meeting

8.6. The Association for the Protection of Rural Scotland

"Association was opposed to 3rd Party Right of Appeal being changed"

should have read

"Association was opposed to 3rd Party Right of Appeal not being changed"

Amended minute accepted as accurate.

3. Presentations

3.1. Pay & Display Proposals

Mark Dewar, Lead Officer, Design Services for Transportation Services and Moir Gibson, Engineer for the scheme outlined the proposals to replace the current voucher system, with a new Pay and Display system based on meters. They explained that the current voucher system contract is coming to an end (31/08/07), and one of the problems is the dependency of the Council on the goodwill of shopkeepers to sell the vouchers. Fewer shops and other premises are selling the vouchers.

Design Services have been researching the parking meter alternative and presented the current proposals to the meeting. These will be freestanding, powered by solar energy with a battery to store power on overcast days. Being solar powered they will not be attached to the electric mains, so there will not be the need to dig up the street to lay cables for power. The machine chosen has a relatively slim appearance and is manufactured by a firm called Metric. It was explained that normally machines would be installed every 30 metres in any paid parking area, but in view of the historic nature of the area, the regulations were being relaxed to allow installation every 40 metres instead, resulting in 38 instead of 46 meters. The machines will be located at the front of the pavements in most places, with exceptions at areas such as Greyfriars where they may be built into the carriageway.

Mr Dewar referred the meeting to a map which gave a rough indication of where it is hoped to locate meters. The timescale for the plans is to have a planning proposal submitted before xmas, then subject to approval to install the machines during next summer and implementation on 01/09/07, barring any delay. There is a contingency to temporarily extend the voucher scheme if there is a delay and there will be an overlap to allow any vouchers remaining in circulation to be used up.

Questions followed with Mr McCartney reminding the meeting of a past idea for meters in the time of the District Council. At that time the meter scheme didn't succeed due to a feeling that the meters were inappropriate in the historic town of St Andrews. Cllr Melville acknowledged the past concerns raised by Mr McCartney, she also acknowledged that because of the reduction in the number of shops being willing to sell the vouchers, the council has been forced to look again at the meter scheme. The initial reaction of Councillors to the proposed meters at a recent briefing had been a unanimous feeling that they were quite large. She has seen more discreet looking meters in Edinburgh, the details of which she has sent to officials. She was not aware of the build out proposals at the time of the briefing. Regarding the size, Mr Gibson said that there is a nominal difference in height because of the solar panel. The build outs will be quite small, about half a metre out, which wouldn't affect parking.

Ms Uprichard commented that outlets to which she's spoken, complain about the minimal financial return for the time taken to explain how to use the vouchers. She liked the voucher scheme as one could buy ahead for future use. She asked about Historic Scotland's view on the scheme. Mr Gibson said that they haven't approached Historic Scotland yet, but will make them aware during the consultation process.

Mr Macgregor voiced an opinion that as the officers are from the Design Team, political objections are not

appropriately addressed to them and suggested that Community Councillors could lobby their Fife Councillors with any objections.

Cllr Melville suggested that the appropriate time to object will be when the planning application has been lodged. She also asked about possible difficulties if because of objections the new system couldn't be put into place as planned. Mr Gibson said that they could approach the voucher company to discuss extending the scheme if there was any delay, provided that they could give the company six months notice. He hoped that by putting in the planning application before December, they could get the scheme approved after a period of consultation by end of February. This timing would still give enough notice to extend the voucher scheme temporarily.

Mr Goudie brought up the issue of the visual impact of the meters, due to their size and also asked about the possible extension of the paid parking area in St Andrews. Mr Gibson commented that colleagues are looking at this possibility, but he wasn't in a position to answer being in the Design Team. He added that the machines proposed for installation have no spare capacity to be made smaller as they have to accommodate the coin collection area, the solar panel and battery and other equipment. A smaller machine would have to be externally powered and the proposed machines would reduce disruption caused by their installation.

Mr Crichton asked why they hadn't been shown other machines. Mr Gibson said that the chosen machine will be the most slim line. Mr Crichton also asked about machines located near trees in South Street being shaded and how this might affect their function. Mr Gibson reassured Mr Crichton that the machines don't need direct sunlight.

Cllr Liston pointed out that one machine at present could end up outside the University Chapel where a sign for the Parking Voucher scheme is located. It had been acknowledged by Fife Council that this wasn't the most appropriate location for a sign. Cllr Liston hoped that this sign would be relocated to a less sensitive spot nearby.

Ms Uprichard asked why the planning application is to go in before xmas, a very busy time. Mr Gibson explained that it is because the proposed start of the scheme is in September, so timescales have to be considered, with six months notice to the company supplying the vouchers required and time for the installation to be organised and completed to meet the 1st September deadline. Ms Uprichard still voiced her suspicion about the timing, wondering why it couldn't be after the new year. Mr Goudie echoed Ms Uprichard's concern on the timing of the application.

Mr McCartney asked about the cost of the machines and the cost of their installation and running. Mr Gibson calculated that it will be about £105,000. The machines are £2,500 each x 38. He didn't think the price of parking would be increased to claw back any cost of the scheme.

Mr Macgregor drew the discussion to a close and thanked the officers for their presentation of the scheme.

3.2. Presentation by Mrs Nan Taylor

Mrs Taylor made a presentation on the problems of safe access around the town for anyone with poor mobility. She described two areas of difficulty, one being stepping on or off a pavement edge, which was very difficult for anyone with poor balance.

The second related to general public awareness of the needs of anyone using a stick. She said that many members of the public, particularly children forget to give sufficient room when passing a user of a walking stick, which could result in the user being put at risk of falling. An additional hazard for stick users are several streets which are wind tunnels, forcing stick users to hold on to any available railings with their free hand to maintain their balance.

Another area of difficulty for stick users occurs in shops, particularly supermarkets. At check outs loose bags on the floor can be a hazard. Queues at the checkouts can also present a problem when customers want to cut through a queue to get to a different aisle, moving out of the way can be more difficult for a stick user in a queue, unless they are given warning. She felt that a simple verbal request would be helpful to give warning of a need to cut through a queue.

Shop doors were another area of difficulty, often being quite heavy, even for a non stick user.

Finally taxi use could be problematic. She said that most taxi drivers very more than helpful but some drivers being less than helpful to stick users and the less able, as they struggled to open doors and get into taxis.

In conclusion, Mrs Taylor wondered if there was a way in which the Community Council could help raise awareness of the difficulties faced by stick users. A raising of awareness she felt might reduce stick users difficulties. A simple verbal technique which she had used was to tell four people and to ask them to pass it on to four others, thus spreading the information and hopefully improving the quality of life for stick users when they go out in town.

4. Fife Councillors

4.1. Frances Melville

4.1.1. Civic Amenity Site – update on opening

Cllr Melville said that problems with getting the power connected were the only stumbling block preventing the opening of the new recycling facilities. She spoken to Fraser Thomson, Head of Environmental Services when the news broke and has written to the Chief Executive of Scottish Power, but hasn't so far had even an acknowledgement. Fife Council has considered a possible need to use portable generators until mains power is switched on, but have been concerned about possible noise problems. Mr Holdsworth informed the meeting that modern generators are not a problem as regards noise. He could recommend a couple of makes which Fife Council could use.

4.1.2. Solar Powered Meters vs Parking Vouchers

Cllr Melville wondered if the St Andrews Citizen could perhaps run a public opinion seeking article in its columns to gauge local views on this issue.

4.1.3. Balmullo Planning Appeal

An appeal by a developer wanting to build some housing in Balmullo, against a condition of building a percentage as affordable housing has gone against Fife Council. The reporter has ruled that the development should be considered under the current structure plan, not the proposed one which has gone to the Scottish Executive. The current structure plan doesn't contain the same conditions about affordable housing. Cllr Melville thought that this decision could have interesting ramifications for Fife Council.

Mr Douglas asked why the Affordable Housing policy which had been agreed long before the new Structure Plan proposals, hadn't been relevant in the Balmullo case. Did it indicate that the policy had no weight? Cllr Melville replied that in any appeal the Reporter would weigh up the issues and in the Balmullo case, which was a smallish development, that might have influenced his decision. In very large developments the reporter might have gone with Fife Council's conditions. She suggested that she should send the report to Dr Goudie to distribute within the Planning Committee to study and understand the decision more clearly.

4.1.4. John Knox Road Development

Ms Uprichard asked Cllr Melville if she could remember how the plans for the John Knox Road site compare to what is being built, particularly in relation to the colours of the buildings and the height above the road. Cllr Melville acknowledged that there are outstanding issues between Fife Council and the developers to be resolved, but said that the reporter had also put down some conditions relating to the development. She added that once a development goes to appeal the Council loses control of final conditions to the Reporter. She also acknowledged that she wasn't aware of all the colours as are now being used in the development.

4.1.5. Special Refuse Collection Criteria

Mrs Stracey asked about the new policy for special collections, which contained conditions relating to how items could be presented for collection and where and when. She felt that the conditions would make it very difficult for elderly or disabled people to get their items out for a special collection unless they had family or friends able to prepare the items. Cllr Melville acknowledged the difficulties, quoting her own problem with disposal of a piano. She felt that the criteria were quite rigid, given the difficulties which could face the elderly and disabled requiring this service. Cllr Liston explained some of the rationale behind the rules for special collections. The requirement for uplifted items to be on the pavement had arisen from difficulties when items had been taken, and then householders had claimed that the item taken hadn't been for uplift and had requested compensation. The timing of the collection Cllr Liston added was just an indication that the timing of an uplift couldn't be precisely given, so the early time of 7.30am was given to all householders requesting an uplift.

4.2. Sheila Black

4.2.1. Civic Amenity Site opening date

Cllr Black informed the meeting that the opening would be the 29th January. She expressed disappointment to Fife Council and was still hopeful that the difficulty causing the delay could be resolved more speedily. Mr Macgregor on behalf of the Community Council added that the Community Councillors were also extremely disappointed that the opening was being delayed because of the inaction of a third party. Cllr Melville added that Fife Council have lodged an official complaint.

4.2.2. Largo Road Lighting Renewal

Largo Road lighting completion is now scheduled for 10th December, a slight delay.

4.2.3. John Knox Road Housing Development

Cllr Black expressed interest in the comments by Community Council on this development, and said that Councillors will look more closely at what is happening in this development.

4.2.4. Flooding Largo Road

Mrs Tricker raised the issue of the flooding on the Largo Road from beside Country Carpets. She thought that the pavement seemed to have lifted up at the source of the flooding. Mr Macgregor added that the flooding has been going on for at least a month with no sign of ceasing. Cllr Melville suggested that a letter of complaint should be sent to the Chief Executive of Scottish Water or an email. Mr Holdsworth mentioned that another leak up by Morrisons had been dealt within two days, but the ongoing leak by Country Carpets was not receiving the same priority, although Fife Council had fenced in the leak and put up traffic lights quite promptly.

4.3. Cllr Sangster

absent

4.4. Cllr Liston

4.4.1. Recycling Centre Power Supply Problem

Cllr Liston said that the problem with getting Scottish Power to start the power supply to the Recycling Centre was also being experienced by Fife Council with a number of new Pedestrian Crossing around Fife which were also due to be switched on. Scottish Power hadn't kept its promise to get the power switched on at the crossings, and the recycling centre had obviously got caught up in this failure on

Scottish Power's part.

4.4.2. Waste Collection Changes

St Andrews won't go on to the restricted waste collection until next summer, after the recycling centre has bedded in.

4.4.3. St Andrews World Class

Cllrs Liston and Sangster had attended a briefing with Patrick Laughlin about St Andrews World Class. At this briefing the remit of the organisation had been explained. It had been emphasised that the remit wasn't to do with the basic day to day work which was the responsibility of Fife Council. There are criteria which have to be met before St Andrews World Class will become involved. She compared it to the work of the late Patrick Cassells and the Pilgrim Foundation which was to pay for the extras such as more ornate street lights. St Andrews World Class she saw in a similar light doing work to add quality to the streetscape of St Andrews. She hoped that this clarification would be helpful. She felt that the briefing had been helpful. Mr Goudie acknowledged that there was no point in complaining to Scottish Enterprise and World Class as to how the money they have access to is being spent. He did however feel that the public would probably prefer to see more money spent on maintaining the basic infrastructure, such as roads and pavements etc. Mr McCartney felt that work such as the Bruce Embankment Railings and the stone wall around the Old Course Hotel, funded via World Class from Scottish Enterprise had upgraded the image of St Andrews.

4.4.4. North Street Crossing

This crossing is scheduled for completion in February 2007.

4.4.5. Car Parking

This subject is to be discussed at the forthcoming East Area Services Committee meeting. She will be asking various questions at the meeting and will report back next meeting. She had received statistics indicating that traffic coming into the town has been increasing recently at 2.5% per annum, previously it had been 1%. She thought that this might have some connection with the review of car parking. Mr Goudie didn't feel that the traffic increase would necessarily equate to an increase in parking demand. He felt that some of the increase was in through traffic to the likes of St Andrews Bay.

4.4.6. Fife Contemporary Art and Craft Leaflet

Cllr Liston described a leaflet produced by the former Crawford Arts Centre, now known as Fife Contemporary Arts and Crafts. The leaflet she said was exemplary for the information given on how to access an exhibition, which had been moved to Dunfermline College, by public transport. She had asked the Transport Service, to recognise and commend the organisation for this excellent leaflet.

5. Planning Committee

5.1. Minutes

5.1.1. Kinburn Castle

Ms Uprichard reported that as Historic Scotland are not objecting to a planned walkway behind this building, the Planning Committee will be making no comment. Therefore the decision recorded in the Planning Committee minutes in the December agenda will be disregarded and struck from the record.

5.1.2. Rufflets Country House Hotel Extension

Planning Committee will be objecting concerned about over development and therefore detriment to the building. Increased traffic is also a concern. Mr Holdsworth asked what the Planning Committee meant by traffic, how do we describe over development and how is it detrimental to the house and setting? Is it an opinion or do the Planning Committee have facts to back their concern? Ms Uprichard admitted that it was difficult to have facts. She felt that the development would be large, and therefore detrimental to an attractive property. She also felt that there was only so much that could be added on before the character of the property would be destroyed. Mr Holdsworth acknowledged the attractiveness of the property and gardens, and the appropriateness of past extensions, but wondered how this development could spoil the property. Ms Uprichard suggested that Mr Holdsworth should look at the plans to see how it would intrude into the general setting of the property.

5.1.3. Largo Road Appeal

Ms Uprichard had recently spent a day and a half at this appeal. She couldn't be certain what the reporter would say about this development proposal.

5.1.4. Ottakars Appeal

There is to be a site visit on 7th December.

5.1.5. Grange House

A further application was made in last month's papers. There will have to be another departure hearing early in the new year. The developer has put in an objection to the first application refusal.

6. Matters Arising

6.1. Community Council Vacancy

Mr Macgregor reminded Community Council that he had written in the Citizen recently asking for volunteers to fill the vacancy. Two responses had been received, one inappropriate as it was from someone living in the Cameron Parish. Mrs Nan Taylor was therefore the sole applicant. Mr Macgregor asked for the meeting's views on accepting her application. Mr Lindsay proposed accepting, with Mr

Crichton seconding. There was unanimous acceptance of her application.

Secretary to write to Mrs Taylor

6.2. Community Council Seminar – 2nd September

Mr Lindsay reported that the next Community Council elections will take place in October 2007. This date had been announced when he was at the Community Council Seminar. That will raise problems according to Mr Lindsay in relation to student representation and literature going to defunct addresses. It's a matter to be raised with Fife Council. Mr Marks then advised members that he had received a printed report of this seminar, and would let anyone interested read the copy.

6.3. Reports from Representatives

6.3.1. Common Good Fund

Mr Marks reported that Ms Lesurf has had to resign from her involvement on health grounds. Mr Macgregor informed the meeting that a replacement member would have to be found for this committee. Mr Crichton commented that it wasn't really a committee and that the main work was to look at applications, email out for comments and then write back to Fife Council. Mr Marks agreed to assist Mr Crichton in this matter.

Mr Marks to assist Mr Crichton.

6.3.2. TAPIFEN (Take a Pride in Fife Environmental Network)

Mr Fraser reported on this day conference which he had attended at the Rothies Halls recently. He had a number of interesting leaflets to distribute to interested members. He had also obtained information about environmental grants available for organisations which he passed to the Treasurer in case they might prove useful. The other main point related to global warming. He cited Fife Council's interest in recycling as a way to reduce carbon emissions.

6.4. Any Other Matters Arising

6.4.1. APRS Donation

Ms Uprichard mentioned her membership of APRS, following discussion after last month's decision to give a donation of £100. She wondered if members still agreed to the donation despite her membership. Mr Lindsay reminded Community Councillors of the need to adhere to the Standards of Conduct to which we'd signed up, this included disclosing membership of bodies which might give rise to a conflict of interest when decisions were being made to award funding etc. He welcomed Ms Uprichard's statement, even though he still disagreed with the decision to donate, which he didn't feel was appropriate use of Community Council funds.

7. Committee Reports

7.1. Recreation

7.1.1. St Andrews Day Reception Report

Mr Macgregor reported he felt that it had been a success with some 75 acceptances from the 100+ invitations which had been sent out. He thanked the members of the Community Council who participated, particularly Mr Peterson who had transported the Gofannon to the event. The St Andrews Pipe Band beat the retreat after the reception. Mr Simon Pepper, Rector of St Andrews University gave a brief and interesting talk about town/gown relations and his hopes for the future.

7.1.2. 8th Annual Photographic and Art Exhibition Report

Mr Crichton read out Mr Peterson's report. The Exhibition was once again a success, though sales could have been better. All Community Councillors who helped were thanked. David Ogden and Photographic Club members were also thanked for their support which they give every year. Morag Harrison from the St Andrews Art Club was thanked for her assistance in hanging the paintings. Thanks also to the judges, Diana Sykes of the Crawford Art Centre and Margaret Carruthers of St Andrews Fine Art for their expert eye. Special thanks as well to Cllr Melville for graciously opening the Exhibition and awarding the prizes. The Gofannon was on display giving the public the opportunity to admire the intricate needlework of the Dundee Embroidery Club. Mr Crichton also informed the meeting that he had been told that the Gofannon has been stored incorrectly. The embroidered part should not be rolled up but stored flat.

7.1.3. St Andrews Young Citizen of the Year Award

To be presented at the January meeting. There has been a good response and judging will take place before the January Meeting.

7.1.4. Senior Citizens Christmas Tea

This will take place on Friday 13th December at 3.30 – 5.30pm.

7.1.5. Hogmanay Ceilidh

Tickets are on sale at McDonalds Insurance in Bell Street. The Ceilidh will be 8–12.30pm.

7.2. General Purposes Committee

No report.

7.3. 200 Club Report and Draw

Mr Lindsay started with a question for the Community Council members. He reminded members about the current state of funds in both the 200 Club (£4,800), and the Community Council about £28,000, though some of this can only be used in specific ways. He circulated a report from last January, a working paper

and minute on Grant Awards, which contained suggestions as to how the funds could be best promoted and used. This might include advertising the availability of the funds. He wanted the Community Council to resolve to make better use of available funds in the new year.

At the present time there are four applications for awards from the 200 Club. Some are specifically to the 200 Club and others are to the Community Council. Mr Lindsay acknowledged that he was uneasy about taking them all through the 200 Club or vice versa. He'd welcome some guidance on how to proceed. Mrs Tricker thought that only applications which specified the 200 Club should be considered for that award, and other applications should come from general funds. She was also keen to consider publicising the availability of funds through the local media. Ms Uprichard wondered about giving funds towards the Petheram Bridge as a form of pump priming. Mr Macgregor was doubtful about using funds towards the Petheram Bridge, preferring to consider funding for local groups or small community projects. Mr Crichton reminded members that not all the money in general funds was available for such use, and he felt that that money should be separated out, to give a clearer view of what was available from general funds. He felt that funding for the likes of the Senior Citizens Party and other specific purposes needed to be clearly identified and excluded from any statement on available funding. Mrs Tricker said that a reading of the accounts would show that funds for such specific purposes as the ceilidh, were not included in the general funds and had their own accounts. Mr Crichton wanted to be reassured that any statement about money in the Community Council accounts that is available should exclude the special accounts.

Mr Macgregor voiced concern that we shouldn't drift on, without clearly planning a scheme for use of the funds for local worthy causes.

Cllr Melville sought an assurance that any expenditure was in order, as she was concerned that Fife Council could stop giving grants if there were any irregularities. Mrs Tricker and Mr Lindsay both confirmed that the Community Council could spend money it raised itself without any problem. Mrs Tricker informed Cllr Melville that Fife Council was happy with the annual financial returns made by the Community Council.

Mr McCartney said that in the past application forms for grants for the 200 Club were made available in places like the public library. Mr Macgregor felt that they were looking specifics with perhaps one or two people to run the Club. Mr Lindsay suggested that it might be the obvious thing for him to act as administrator and be a channel for the 200 Club, in conjunction with the treasurer. Mr Macgregor asked Mrs Tricker if she was agreeable to this idea. Mrs Tricker expressed her willingness to work with Mr Lindsay on this.

Mr Goudie thought that it might be more appropriate when advertising the 200 Club, to clearly state the maximum grant available.

Mr Lindsay sought advice on what he should do about the present grant applications for the 200 Club or Community Council. He was aware that there were no procedures for the decision making process within the monthly Community Council meeting. He sought agreement that any applications which the 200 Club agreed didn't fit into its criteria, could come to the main Community Council meeting to be decided. Mr Macgregor asked the meeting's opinion. There was no dissent in this matter.

200 Club Draw – 1st Prize £50 – No. 114 – Prof. C. Blake, 2nd prize £15 – Mr K. Crichton.

8. New Business

8.1. Renewal of Trademark Registration

Mr Macgregor reported that the Patent Office had written to request renewal of the trademark. This has been renewed for the next ten years. Mr Macgregor is also trying to organise a further meeting in January with Mr Inch, and the company which holds the licence, to use the trademark on various products. He hopes to discover if there has been any progress in making sales, which will bring income to the Community Council.

8.2. Sources of Funding – External and Internal

Mr Marks commented on this letter received from Fife Council, which was informing Community Councils about sources of funding for a range of projects.

8.3. Conference on Middle-sized old European university towns

This conference was discussed. Mr Lindsay asked if we know who else has been contacted in relation to St Andrews. This might influence whether we feel we can make a useful contribution. It was suggested that the secretary contact Mr Larsson to establish who else has been contacted to help us make a decision.

Secretary to email Mr Larsson

8.4. Consultation on Draft West Edinburgh Planning Framework 2006

For information only.

8.5. Implementing the Standards for Community Engagement

Mr Lindsay commented that he'd attended a workshop on this subject, and had not found it particularly inspiring. He had hoped that it might be a discussion about how to get more people involved in Community Councils etc, but this had not been the case. Ms Uprichard commented on the draft PAN on this subject, which she viewed as a depressing document, which had been sent back for revision by the Scottish Executive following numerous objections.

8.6. www.onlinestandrews.co.uk

Mr Lindsay commented that it was a nice looking site. The developer of the site, Mr Dunkerley, lives in North-East Fife. He suggested that anyone interested contact the site owner direct for further information.

Mr Lindsay commented that there are numerous similar businesses around the country. He also mentioned that he'd been approached by Fife Direct about taking over the St Andrews bit of that web site, which was essentially similar to the site operated by Mr Dunkerley.

8.7. Eastern Division Community Newsletter

For information only.

8.8. St Andrews Art for Mercy Charity Auction

For information only.

9. Reports from Office Bearers

9.1. Chair

– no report

9.2. Treasurer

Mrs Tricker reported that donations had been received from POG and the R & A towards the Senior Citizens Party.

Next month she hoped to have more accurate figures about funding available for spending.

Mr Crichton discussed the need to ascertain what assets we possess. He had become more aware of the need to check as he'd been given three trophies in the shape of books which had last been awarded in 1997. He suggested that the secretary might try to contact past members who might be aware of such items. Mr Lindsay suggested that checking past minutes which are online might answer his queries on the trophies.

9.3. Secretary

As detailed in the Appendix – The secretary is always happy to supply members with copies of correspondence when requested.

10. Any Other Competent Business

10.1. Time Table for X24 Bus

Mr Fraser mentioned the new time table for the X24 bus, which is meant to be a direct express bus from St Andrews to Glasgow. In the timetable one bus requires passengers to change at Dunfermline, namely the 10.25 from St Andrews and the 12.15 from Glasgow. Mr Crichton wondered if the secretary could write to Fife Stagecoach to ask if this bus could be changed to a direct service. Mr Lindsay suggested that this matter could be taken up by one of the Fife Councillors with a transport interest.

10.2. Date of Next Meeting

January 8th 2007.
