

# Royal Burgh of St Andrews Community Council

## Minutes – 24<sup>th</sup> May 2010

### For Approval

*(Copies of Agendas and Minutes of the Community Council are held at Fife Council's Local Office, St Mary's Place and the Town Library, Church Square. Those from late 1997 on are on line at <http://www.standrewscc.net/>)*

## 1. Attendance

### Community Councillors

Ronnie Murphy, Ian Goudie, Penny Uprichard, Derek Skelhon, Andy Primmer, Patrick Marks, Judith Harding, Carol Ashworth, Henry Paul, Marysia Denyer, Audrey Macanaw, Andy Primmer, Izzy Corbin, Catherine Rowe, Dave Finlay, Meg Platt, Jill Hardie

### Students' Association Representative

Holly West,

### Nominated

Jude Innes

### Fife Councillors

Bill Sangster,

### Apologies

Jude Innes, Ken Fraser, Frances Melville, Dorothea Morrison, Robin Waterston, Rebecca Ladley, Owen Wilton

## 2. Minutes of 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2010 Meeting

The minutes were accepted as correct with corrections noted prior to the meeting.

## 3. Presentations

### 3.1. Police Presentation – Open Championship Policing

Superintendent John Powe, Inspector Nicola Black and Gordon Hughes from Fife Transportation Services were present to present the details about the policing and management of the traffic aspects of the Open Championship. Superintendent Powe reminded the meeting that 2010 represents the 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Open Championship, taking place between 11<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> July. On 13<sup>th</sup> July St Andrews University will give three past champions honorary degrees. On the 14<sup>th</sup> of July there will be the Champions Challenge in which many past Winners will participate, Supt Powe thought that this event would also attract significant crowds.

The main concern of the police is in managing the impact of the Open on the community of St Andrews. There will be increased traffic to manage and also the impact of large numbers of spectators, whose presence may attract some criminal elements.

Mr Hughes then described the traffic management plans, which he said would be based on the 2005 plans, which he felt had worked quite well. Roads closures will take place mainly around the R&A / Links area. There will be a temporary Residents Parking Scheme in which affected Residents would be allocated permits on a first come, first served basis. Workers commuting to St Andrews will be offered parking in the local School car parks, also on a first come, first served basis. Drivers coming into the town to attend the golf will be directed to specific car parking areas, according to which direction they are coming from, with parking restrictions to prevent increased parking congestion on those routes. There will be a park and ride as well.

Superintendent Powe then talked about the nighttime economy. He acknowledged that there would be a greatly increased number of people out and the local licensed premises would have extended licensing hours. He recognised that this could cause some problems due to the influence of alcohol. During this period the local officers, organised by the local Inspector would have the remit of patrolling the town centre. Additional warning signs would also be placed along the Scores to reduce the potential for accidents/deaths due to the proximity of the cliffs. The police will also be developing strategies to reduce opportunities for pickpockets attracted by the crowds.

Mr Finlay asked about parking at the Madras schools. Superintendent Powe explained that Madras South Street would be used by residents displaced by the Open, while Kilrymont would be for anyone coming to work in the town. Mr Finlay commented about the distance for people to walk. Supt Powe reminded the meeting that the town bus service passed by Kilrymont for anyone wishing to avoid the walk to work. Local employers will be notified by the Police of these plans.

Dr Goudie thanked Supt Powe and his colleagues for their attendance and presentation.

## **4. Fife Councillors**

### **4.1. Frances Melville – not present**

### **4.2. Bill Sangster**

#### **4.2.1. Drainage Cover Disappearance**

Mrs Rowe brought up the issue of drainage covers disappearing from Market Street, potentially stolen to sell for the scrap metal value.

#### **4.2.2. A Boards Meeting**

There is to be a Transportation & Environment Committee meeting to discuss the "A" Boards. Cllr Sangster has asked for a year's trial period to apply new rules for their use in St Andrews. He is concerned that Fife Council may not be prepared to go far enough in its action in this matter. Cllr Sangster had written to the Head of Transportation as well as the Chair of the Transportation and Environment Committee on the matter.

### **4.3. Robin Waterston - not present**

### **4.4. Dorothea Morrison – not present**

## **5. Planning Committee**

### **5.1. Planning Committee Reports and other matters**

#### **5.1.1. East Sands Urban Framework**

Miss Uprichard had put together a possible response on behalf of the Community Council and invited comments on its contents. Mr Paul was keen to have a working lock to allow more use of the upper harbour for yachts. He felt that this wasn't out of character, given the history of past use by fishing boats etc and thought that the harbour. Dr Goudie commented that in the CC reply they wanted to get away from the tone of the area primarily as a tourist destination. Miss Uprichard was concerned that the potential for water-based activities could be overshadowed by the emphasis on development in the Framework on display. She commented on the concerns of the Yacht Club in a recent newspaper article about the need to retain the boatyard and encourage further water based sports activities. Mrs Rowe commented on her childhood experiences when yachts were common in the harbour. Mrs McAnaw as a parent of school age children added that there weren't enough water based sports activities for young people.

Mr Murphy supported the overall tone of the response, but sought clarification on the location of the upper harbour. The location was described for the benefit of the meeting by Mrs Rowe and Cllr Sangster, as the area past the current harbour gates. Cllr Sangster commented that the intention was not to build up the area, but to improve the general appearance. In response to a mention about the Yacht Club Boat Yard by Cllr Sangster, Mr Paul made the meeting aware that Fife Council had refused to renew the lease of the yard to the Yacht Club, which concerned him as to the Council's intention for its future. He felt that a clear

commitment by Fife Council could be shown by giving a long lease to the Yacht Club of the boat yard. Cllr Sangster suggested that a letter on the matter should be sent to the appropriate official dealing with the Framework proposals.

Cllr Sangster then talked about the possible disposal of the portakabin snack bar by the Harbour Trust, and the eventual development of a nearby building into a restaurant and other facilities. Dr Goudie commented that on the fact that there had only been a small number of submissions on the Framework Proposals and urged more people to put in their comments by letter or online. Miss Uprichard commented on the speed with which the Framework proposals had been sent through Fife Council and then into the consultation process. She felt that the CC response should go in to ensure that Fife Council was aware of the concerns and the need to clarify the exact extent of possible development they saw taking place in the East Sands area.

Dr Goudie felt that the report did at least acknowledge the need to encourage further water based activities, though he wanted to make sure that there was an emphasis on the mixed use of the area and not just tourism. He also assessed that the submission met with general approval, apart from a slight disagreement over the possible issue of the basin and the wading birds. Dr Goudie suggested the possibility of removing the paragraph in question from the submission, and let individuals comment upon the sensitive area of the upper harbour/basin. Mr Paul acknowledged that he'd put in his own submission and remained keen to see the harbour become more active like Anstruther. Mr Paul explained for the benefit of the meeting the set up with the locks and the way the upper basin would work if the locks properly worked. Dr Goudie wondered if the revival of the locks might increase the risk of flooding in exceptional weather/tides? Cllr Sangster replied that the Harbour Master would usually ensure that the harbour lock gates were open to reduce any chance of a back up of flood water.

**The letter to be submitted with the removal of the paragraph relating to the upper basin/harbour.**

## **6. Matters Arising**

### **6.1. Arms Update**

To be taken in camera

### **6.2. Climate Challenge Fund – update**

Mr Murphy reported on progress. Adverts had been placed for Energy Champions, though the response from local people had been slow. Mr Murphy was also waiting for funds to enable the Project to purchase a laptop and projector. Tenders have gone out to two website designers for a website. Mr Murphy had also started an article to appear in the St Andrews in Focus, and was in discussion with representatives from Transition St Andrews to see if there would be any benefit from working mutually together. He was also putting together the adverts for the Co-ordinator job to appear in appropriate online sites.

Dr Goudie asked if it would be possible to press the Scottish Government to make an official announcement of the funding award?

### **6.3. Reports from Representatives**

None

### **6.4. Martyrs Monument**

Dr Goudie quoted from the GP minute that a meeting was to take place between the Community Council, the St Andrews Partnership, the Preservation Trust and possibly the Pilgrim Trust. The meeting would discuss how best to co-ordinate the project. Dr Goudie added that the format of the meeting hadn't been decided, but wanted to discuss this, and get CC agreement on the number of CC reps to the meeting and who they might be. The parameters within with the reps would be working also needed to be determined. Issues included whether the CC wanted to take on the lead role and to decide how many accounts should be set up to receive money for the Project.

Mrs Corbin felt that the CC should take the lead of the Project, but also felt that it was an issue in which the whole town could be involved. Dr Goudie commented that he had made Patrick Laughlin aware of the work done by HEW towards the Project over the last few months.

Miss Uprichard agreed that the CC should take the lead role with support from the Preservation Trust and St Andrews Partnership and other relevant local organisations. However she had been lead to understand

that the Partnership had already made some progress and had the promise of a Project Manager from Fife Council when the work started. Mrs Ashworth replied that everything was in abeyance with the Partnership at the present time as they were awaiting charitable status registration. She acknowledged that the Partnership had been discussing the Project for a while and only recently had discussed possibly co-opting other organisations. Mr Pead supported the idea of getting the main parties involved together for a meeting. He didn't think that the need to work for charitable status had stopped the Partnership making some progress.

Mrs Harding wondered how many representatives the CC should have on the proposed working group? Dr Goudie suggested that Mrs Corbin and Mr Paul and himself might be possible reps as each of them had a relevant role in any future activity. Mrs Harding suggested that Mrs Ashworth could be another rep. Dr Goudie asked for the meeting's agreement on four reps. Mrs Ashworth felt that four reps from each organisation would possibly make too large a group to work together. Mrs Corbin felt that another member of HEW should be involved. Mr Pead suggested that at the inaugural meeting only Mrs Corbin and one other attend. The inaugural meeting could determine the numbers to be involved from each organisation. Miss Uprichard reminded the meeting that the main function of the group might be fundraising. Mr Paul agreed to limit the numbers at the inaugural meeting, with him and Mrs Corbin attending. He felt that the meeting needed to determine the CC role as leading or following etc. Dr Goudie felt that a larger number would more clearly demonstrate the CCs commitment to the project.

Cllr Sangster mentioned possible sources of funding, such as a Heritage Grant, which could give a considerable donation on anything costing over £50000. Fife Council would give some support, possibly from the Common Good Fund as the monument is on Common Good Land. Mr Pead felt that raising the money was the easy part of the project. The management of the project to ensure that it was done correctly was more problematic in his view.

Dr Goudie asked the Community Council if it supported his original proposal that the reps for the inaugural meeting should be himself, Mr Paul and Mrs Corbin. The Community Council agreed his proposal unanimously. He also asked if the Community Council was prepared to take on the project as the lead organisation should the meeting make that decision? He also commented on the need for a possible caveat for any account that was set up to receive donations, that should funding fail to reach the required amount the fate of that fund should be clearly spelt out.

**Dr Goudie, Mr Paul and Mrs Corbin to represent the Community Council at the inaugural meeting of the group to discuss the Martyrs Monument Project.**

**The Community Council also agreed that it would be prepared to take on the role of lead organisation should the inaugural meeting agree on that idea.**

## 6.5. St Andrews Events

This matter was discussed in the most recent GP Meeting. Dr Goudie saw his position as Chair as requiring that he uphold the reputation of the Community Council as far as possible, and endeavoured to ensure that the minuted decisions of the Community Council are followed. He felt that that meant that the Community Council as a body could not condone the loss of a flagship event like the Old Folks Treat. He commented that a past Chair, Donald Macgregor had commented that the Old Folks Treat actually went back well before the existence of Community Councils, possibly into Victorian times. He felt that there needed to be financial control of the money that was attributed to St Andrews Events, in so far as the original decision as reported in the minutes of July 2009 attributed the money to a separate account, rather than a separate organisation. He added that those were the parameters within which he believed he was working. Within the parameters he was prepared to be as flexible and imaginative as possible in order to get an amicable resolution of this matter. In terms of administration, if the current committee running the Old Folks Treat was not happy for the money to come back fully within the remit of the Community Council, he was prepared to entertain other solutions, such as the co-option of the members on to the Community Council or to be run by a Trust in which Community Council members had a dominant role. In terms of the finances, there was considerable sympathy with Mr Crichton's concern about poor past management of the Community Council finances. Dr Goudie acknowledged Mr Crichton's role in raising much of the money for the events in question. However he added that it had to be understood that it was raised in the understanding that it was for events associated with the Community Council. There was general agreement that the money should be ring fenced and not used for any other purpose. He was open to all suggestions on this matter. He felt that it ought to be possible to resolve the matter.

Mr Crichton in response was not happy that there appeared to be a connection between him being able to remain as Convenor and the return of the funds to the Community Council. Mr Crichton commented on the past efforts of numerous people to raise the money for the events. Mr Crichton then stated that he was going to give all the funds in the St Andrews Events account to charity, reminding the meeting that it had

been raised for "Charitable" type purposes in the past. He asked for the Community Council to suggest possible recipients for these funds and to give the names to the secretary.

Dr Goudie asked Mr Crichton if it was the case that he intended to disburse the money in St Andrews Events accounts. Mr Crichton confirmed his intention. Mr Pead confirmed that the amount involved was approximately £9000. Dr Goudie made his view clear that he didn't think that it was a good idea and sought comment from the meeting. Miss West asked what Charities the money was raised for. Mr Crichton replied that money had been received from St Andrews Charities for the Old Folks Treat, but as this didn't cover the costs, surplus money from the Ceilidh went towards those costs. He added that he'd never had any help from members of the Community Council and had done all the fundraising himself. In reply to a query from Dr Goudie, Mr Crichton explained that the £9000 was the surplus money from the Ceilidhs.

This contingency fund had been built up to help defray costs not covered by other donations towards the Old Folks Treat. Mr Roberts commented that in his understanding the money raised had been stated as being for the Old Folks Treat so he didn't think that it could suddenly be given to someone else. Mr Crichton replied that this was the best way to dispose of the funds. Mr Roberts didn't accept this explanation, feeling that it wasn't correct. Mr Crichton acknowledged that the money was originally collected for the Old Folks Treat, though some has been given for other charitable use. All such donations were put into the same fund.

Mr Murphy initially commented on the issue of the Convenorship, and acknowledged that Mr Crichton had been offended by the comments that he had made. Mr Murphy added that he stated at the outset that he had been very reluctant to take the action he'd taken because of Mr Crichton's history of service to the Community Council. He had felt that there had to be some way to bring the matter to a head. He also added that he hadn't meant to offend him. He then asked how Mr Crichton was going to fund a possible alternative Old Folks Party if he'd disbursed the current funds? Mr Crichton replied that he'd do as he always had, and that was to ask sponsors.

Mr Murphy commented upon Mr Crichton's concern about the security of the money used for the Old Folks Treat and asked for his response about the Community Council suggestion to ring fence the money. Mr Crichton's replied that because having the money was too big a source of tension, he felt that the simplest way would be to give it to charities, such as the Old Folks Treat as originally intended. Mr Murphy reminded Mr Crichton that the money had been raised under the banner of the Community Council. He added that he had great respect for Mr Crichton's almost single-handed work in raising the funds and for feeling aggrieved at the lack of support from the Community Council to run the events. However Mr Murphy continued, if Mr Crichton had raised the money as a Community Councillor for a Community Council event he couldn't disburse the money to anyone other than the Community Council. Mr Crichton maintained that the money was given for charity.

Mr Pead asked Mr Crichton about the costs of running the Old Folks Treat and the Ceilidh. Mr Crichton thought about £5000 for the two events. Mr Pead suggested the possibility that the money be used to run the two events until it was exhausted, as he felt that this would meet the needs of both parties in ensuring that the money was spent properly. Dr Goudie thought that the proposal sounded worthwhile and asked Mr Crichton for his response. Mr Crichton replied that he'd have to confer with his committee on that proposal. Dr Goudie reminded Mr Crichton that as Mr Murphy had pointed out the money was raised in the name of the Community Council, it would be up to St Andrews Events to raise its own funds and not use the money raised previously under the Community Council banner. Miss Uprichard asked Mr Crichton if the ring fencing of the money would not be a sufficient reassurance about the appropriate future management of those funds? Mr Crichton in his reply indicated that he still wasn't convinced that all Community Councillors would act responsibly enough for him to feel confident in that idea, given the recent history of financial management. He cited the situations of the Millennium Fund and the former St Andrews Community Council Trust as examples of suspect financial management. Miss Uprichard thought that Mr Crichton should accept that if the Chair, Dr Goudie said that the funds would be ring fenced he should accept that this would be the case. Dr Goudie while recognising past problems, emphasised that as Chair he was now much more aware of the issues and intended to make sure that financial management would be much more rigorous, as he felt it had become in the past year. He emphasised the need to exercise some element of trust and to accept that the Community Council had learnt a lesson and would try to do better in the future. Mr Crichton wondered what would happen if there weren't enough volunteers from Community Council to continue running the events? Mrs McAnaw reminded Mr Crichton that there were new Community Council members whom she was sure would be prepared to assist him. Mr Crichton remained unconvinced about matters and announced his intention to resign. Dr Goudie hoped that Mr Crichton would think again about resigning, recognising the very valuable contribution he'd made to the Community Council over many years. He felt that it seemed a great pity that an amicable resolution couldn't be made on this matter. He asked that Mr Crichton take Mr Pead's suggestion back to his St Andrews Events Committee about the use of the funding. Dr Goudie did not feel that it would be a sensible resolution of the matter for two bodies to be running similar events.

He also didn't feel that the problem would go away, simply by dispersal of the money, as it did not resolve the fact that the money was raised in the name of the Community Council and had to be dealt with under the banner of the Community Council. He felt that it was still possible to work imaginatively to find a solution to this matter. He asked Mr Crichton to give the matter serious thought and suggested that further discussions could take place at the next Community Council meeting.

Mr Crichton in reply said that while he accepted that the money might have been raised under the banner of the Community Council, the Community Council had agreed to the transfer of those funds last summer. Mr Marks commented that in the June 2009 meeting, Mr Reed had reported on Mr Crichton's behalf about St Andrews Events, and his report had appeared to indicate that there would be a new account of that name, which would be managed within the Recreation Committee. Mr Crichton replying mentioned Ms Smith's concern that originally St Andrews Events might take on the Civic Reception and her indication that it should remain within the Community Council. Mr Pead at that meeting volunteered to look after that event. Mr Crichton claimed that he had offered to the Community Council the opportunity to take on the other events, but had met with no offers to do so.

Dr Goudie said that Community Councillors had to abide by decisions of the full Community Council, and that reported statements by the former Chair were not an appropriate basis for decision making. He added that Mr Crichton's huge amount of work in organising the events could not be an overriding factor in decision making. He reminded the meeting that any individual Councillor could do a lot of work in one area, but the decision of the full Community Council would still be paramount.

Mrs McAnaw proposed a vote on Mr Pead's proposal, seconded by Miss Uprichard and Mr Roberts. Mr Crichton submitted a counter proposal that the money should go to charity, but found no seconder. The meeting passed Mr Pead's proposal.

## **6.6. Any other Matters Arising**

### **6.6.1. Local Plan**

Miss Uprichard brought it to the attention of the meeting that the Planning Committee recently had a meeting with Mr Lindsay and Mr McGregor from Planning. At that meeting the current version of the Local Plan was called a new plan by the officials. As a result the 2005 representations would not be going to the Public Inquiry on the Local Plan. Miss Uprichard had written to Mr McGregor querying this matter and had received a letter back stating that this was a "new version of the Local Plan" and repeating that the 2005 objections would not be going forward to the Inquiry, but he'd be providing an Internet link in relation to the objections/representations for the Reporters. Miss Uprichard doubted the willingness of the Reporters to download over 3000 objections/representations. Miss Uprichard felt that it was Fife Council's duty to send the representations/objections as hard copies to the Reporters. She still maintained that the Local Plan was still all one-draft plan from 2005. She was hoping to be able to write to Fife Council on this matter and wanted to get the backing of the local Fife Councillors and the Community Council.

Cllr Sangster briefly commented that he thought that if the Council was maintaining that the current Local Plan was new, then past objectors should be notified of the fact.

The Community Council unanimously agreed to let Miss Uprichard send her letter in the name of the Community Council on this matter.

## **7. Committee Reports**

### **7.1. Recreation Committee**

#### **7.1.1. Bandstand Concerts**

Work is ongoing in organising the concerts as discussed at the GP meeting. Mr Paul as prospective Treasurer, asked if the Community Council could vote on whether it could commit £1000 or so to the costs of this event, as the donations to date would only cover a couple of concerts. Cllr Sangster asked whether Mr Roberts had applied to the Common Good or other possible funding sources. Mr Roberts acknowledged that he'd not put in an application to the Common Good Fund and the other major funding source, Celebrating Fife 2010 was proving problematic because of the criteria required to be eligible for funding. Dr Goudie expressed his view that the idea of committing £1000 was a bit ambitious in the current financial circumstances. Mr Paul confirmed that he felt that it would be possible as there would be sufficient funds to use if necessary as the new grant for 2010/11 would be due soon at over £3000 and there was still £4000 in the CC Accounts. Mr Marks asked about the CGF application and whether Mr Roberts

would be making one, even if it were late in the day. He asked Cllr Sangster about the timescale for decisions being made for such grants. Cllr Sangster indicated that while the application should have gone in early in the year, there was no harm in applying at this stage. Mr Pead, retiring treasurer didn't feel that there were the funds to commit and that fundraising should be our route to get more funds. He felt that it was almost too late to pay for the number of concerts usually put on and wasn't happy that any use of funds would involve accessing money from the ex-Trust Funds etc in a way criticised by Mr Crichton.

Mrs Rowe asked about the funding of the Bandstand Concerts. Mr Finlay said that most of the money usually came from CGF when he ran the concerts, but he had his doubts about using CC money given that the concerts as far as he could tell generally attracted few locals, but mostly tourists.

Mr Roberts added that an additional complication lay in the plan to do some work to repair the sea wall nearby, possibly after the Open. This would mean that equipment for the work would be stored in a compound beside the bandstand, making the area unsightly.

Mrs McAnaw expressed her concern that the CC was in danger of losing a whole year's concerts if something wasn't done. She felt that the opportunity should be taken to run the concerts and get a licence to collect money if necessary.

Cllr Sangster reminded the meeting that the bands needed to be booked in good time or they would not be available. He also reminded the meeting that this was the only event, which gave the Community Council good publicity

Dr Goudie felt that a vote was required to resolve exactly what should be done in this case. He reminded the meeting that Mr Paul was proposing to use £1000 from the ex-trust fund if necessary to allow for a full compliment of bandstand concerts. Mr Murphy seconded the proposal. The meeting voted for the proposal.

### **7.1.2. Garden Competition**

This will discussed by the committee soon.

### **7.1.3. Young Citizen of the Year**

To be discussed by Mr Roberts and the new Convenor, Mrs Rowe.

## **7.2. General Purposes Committee**

Most of the contents were discussed earlier in the meeting or were discussed in camera. Dr Goudie went briefly over the remaining items. These included the Art Exhibition for which there had been a resolution to try and sort matters out much sooner. An item to be discussed by the Recreation Committee.

The St Andrews Day Reception had also been briefly discussed. Mr Paul was considering a revamp of this event. Mr Paul talked about possibly using the Town Hall because the Borough Chambers were constrained by regulations to only 60 persons.

## **7.3. 200 Club**

1<sup>st</sup> Prize: A C Rutherford - £50

2<sup>nd</sup> Prize: Dr M Allen - £30

3<sup>rd</sup> Prize: J L Hodge - £20

## **7.4. Health, Education and Welfare Committee**

Mrs Corbin reminded the meeting about the Japanese Pop Culture Exhibition opening on the 2<sup>nd</sup> June. She had sent out emails to CC members to gauge possible attendance from the CC.

## **8.0. New Business**

There was no new business

## 9.0. Office Bearers Reports

### 9.1. Chair's Report

Dr Goudie reminded the meeting about the planned presentation by Mr Headon and his company in the June meeting on their proposals.

Dr Goudie also reminded the meeting about the issue relating to the December minutes and Mr Headon's objection to comments reported in the minutes. Dr Goudie felt that the matter needed to be resolved. The matter was discussed by the CC. Mr Paul suggested that Dr Goudie should email the CC his amended minute for comment.

**Dr Goudie to send to CC members the revised minute of the CC relating to 4.1.3. in the December 2009 minute.**

### 9.2. Treasurer's Report

1. Mr Pead reported that the Annual Accounts had been completed along with the changes requested at the AGM. He had however sent the accounts back to the book keeper to the display of the spreadsheets to ensure an easy audit trail for my successor and in the future. However, they will be ready prior to our June meeting.

At the present time there had been expenditure of £284.28 from the admin sub account from a credit balance of only £283.30. To avoid any debit balance in that account he'd transferred an additional £200 from the ex-Trust Fund Account. Dr Goudie wondered if it could be implied that the CC was using ex-Trust Fund money for admin purposes? Mr Paul didn't think so and commented that the Bandstand Concerts could be considered a community use and allocated from the ex-Trust Fund rather than the Admin Fund. Mr Pead felt that if the funds were properly voted through it would be okay. Dr Goudie commented on the ruling by OSCR that the ex-Trust fund money even if transferred should be used for the Trust purposes. Mr Paul added that in his view the Bandstand concerts came within the definition of a community use of the ex-Trust fund. Mr Murphy felt that the ex-Trust Fund could be used for anything, which benefited the town and could be used until exhausted and then closed.

### 9.3. Secretary's Report

A letter had been received about the Gumley Trophy, a biennial Golf Competition between Fife Council and Edinburgh Council on 29<sup>th</sup> July this year. Cllr Sangster gave details about the event. Mr Murphy agreed to put his name forward for this event.

## 10. Any Other Competent Business

There was no AOCB.